PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

MIPO 23 AUG 2006 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416 International application No. PCT/GB2005/001286 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. G06F9/46				
PCT/GB2005/001286 01.04.2005 02.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
INV. G06F9/46				
Applicant				
SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED				
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes				
beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box				
Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
☐ Box No. I Basis of the report				
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report				
15.03.2006 18.08.2006				
Name and mailing address of the international Authorized officer				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: Authorized officer				
Name and mailing address of the international Authorized officer				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001286

	Box	x No. I Basis of the report		
1.	With	ith regard to the language, this report is based on		
	\boxtimes	the international application	in the language in which it was filed	
		of a translation furnished for ☐ international search (und ☐ publication of the international function of the international function of the internation of the international function of the internation of the	er Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4(a))	
		☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))		
2.	hav	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whic</i> have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	_			
	Des	scription, Pages		
	1-21	1	as originally filed	
	Clai	ims, Numbers		
	1-41	1	as originally filed	
		a sequence listing and/or ar	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		The amendments have resu	ılted in the cancellation of:	
		☐ the description, pages		
		☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs		
		☐ the sequence listing (sp	ecify):	
		any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specity):	
4.	□ had Sup	This report has been establed not been made, since they be pplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).	
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to se	ecify):	
	, t.	, , ,	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	
	*	it item 4 applies. So	nue or arr or these sheets wav be marked "suberseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001286

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-41

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-41

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-41

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US 6 405 266 B1, 11 June 2002
 - D2: WO 99/09490 A, 25 February 1999
 - D3: GB 2 354 848 A, 4 April 2001
 - D4: WO 02/088949 A, 7 November 2002
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 D1 discloses (abstract) the following features defined in claim 1:
 - (i) property published within a first process: event;
 - (ii) notify the retrieved property: event is published via a message broker;
 - (iii) one or more further processes: subscribing processes;
 - (iv) requesting to subscribe: object can subscribe to an event.
- 2.2 The provision of a portion of the kernel retrieving a property and accordingly notifying it to the appropriate subscribers (differing feature) is considered to be well within the reach of the possibilities obviously available to the skilled person in the field of inter-/intra-process communication in order to allow a more streamlined and secure routing of the relevant retrieval and notification messages. Moreover, as the claim does not indicate which further extraordinary effect (if any) is linked to the choice of the kernel portion, and not e.g. to a user-side server, it can not be seen how the differing feature can contribute to an inventive step.
- 2.3 Claims 2-39 merely define straightforward features which the skilled person in the field of interprocess communication by means of the subscription/notification model would effortlessly consider and apply to the system disclosed in D1.

 The subject-matter of claims 2-39 is therefore not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.4 Given the ample similarity between the subject-matter of claims 40 and 41 and that of claim 1, the same reasoning set forth in point 2.1 above applies to claims 40 and 41, the subject-matter of which is not new.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001286

Attention is drawn on the fact that decoupling the production and consumption of information in software systems to facilitate extensibility by removing explicit dependencies between components is a well-known and widely used concept in the so called "publish/subscribe" architecture (see e.g. D2-D4), characterised by undirected production, and subscription to events by their properties rather than their source.